

How can European Regulatory Frameworks Incorporate Mental Health Protecting Policies for Patients to Mitigate Disparities Between Member States?

INTRODUCTION

- Paediatric clinical trials are **essential** due to **children's unique physiological and psychological needs** when compared to adults.
 - **SafeCT is an EU-led project** uniting 30 countries to jointly **improve clinical trial safety**.
- Key Challenges:**
- **Complexity and high risk** and responsibility (Bavdekar, 2013).
 - **Varying national practices:** some states use broad ethical review, others only limited review.
 - **Lack of adequate focus on psychological burden**, risking underprotection of child participants and family.

METHODS

Qualitative research: 2 expert interviews.
Aim: explore mental health policies in EU paediatric trial regulation.
Ethics: informed consent, confidentiality.

RESULTS

Regulations:

- **Harmonized** via CTR 536/2014, PIPs, ICH guidelines.
- Strong physical safety standards, but **psychological protection is lacking (vulnerable groups)**.

Mental health:

- **Not formally included**.
- Viewed as **under-prioritized**.
- Only **addressed if symptoms appear as adverse events**.

Ethics:

- Emphasis on **informed consent, physician's role, ethics committees**.
- Participation allowed **post-adult trials**.
- **Different level of ethical review per member state creates disparities**

Accessibility:

- **Low paediatric trial rates** (~6%) .
- **Physicians** key in informing families.
- Registries (CTIS, ClinicalTrials.gov) support **transparency**.

Finance:

- **Industry-funded**, Contract Research Organisations manage ~70–80% of costs.
- **Insurance, site reimbursement, documentation** covered.
- Physician compensation framed as **reimbursement**, not incentive

References

Bavdekar, S. (2013). Paediatric clinical trials. *Perspectives in Clinical Research*, 4(1), 89. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-3485.106403>
 Wiener, L., Cauter, K., Long, K., Pschogios, A. M., & Thompson, A. L. (2020). Paediatric Psychosocial Standards of Care in action: Research that bridges the gap from need to implementation. *Psycho-Oncology*, 29(12), 2033–2040. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.5505>

CONCLUSIONS

Incorporating mental health, that is currently overlooked in the paediatric clinical trials regulations, would enhance their quality and make it more attractive for public.



RECOMMENDATIONS

SafeCT Collaboration:

- **Establish psychological advisory committees** to assess the psychological burden of trials.
- Tailor **mental health support before, during, and after treatment**.
- **Enhance cost-efficiency by applying psychological oversight** only when necessary, ensuring trial integrity.

Clinician Education:

- **Recognize the importance of psychological care**, equal to physical safety.
- **Encourage transparency about physical and psychological safety** with parents.

Public Education:

- Promote **public awareness of safety regulations** (psychological and physical) through EU-wide efforts.
- **Combat the "guinea pig" effect** by addressing both mental and physical needs, showing care for the holistic well-being of participants.

Guidelines:

- **Incorporate psychological assessments in application guidelines** for regulations.
- Provide **clearer guidance for assessing "minimal risk" and "minimal burden"** of psychological well-being.

Practical Models:

- Use digital platforms (e.g., eSCCIP) for **scalable, remote psychosocial support with minimal staff training** (Wiener et. al., 2020).
- Adopt a **tiered approach to psychosocial care:** emotional check-ins for low-risk studies and comprehensive evaluations for high-risk studies.

Affordability:

- **Balance trial affordability and market competitiveness with ethical integrity and safety**.
- Address potential **exploitation in countries with limited ethical review** and emphasize comprehensive ethical safeguards.